

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/523,301	Applicant(s) HUGHES ET AL.
	Examiner /Peter A. Hruskoci/	Art Unit 1797

All Participants:**Status of Application:** _____

(1) /Peter A. Hruskoci/.

(3) _____.

(2) Sheila A. Logins.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 May&2 June 2009**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

7, and 10-12

Prior art documents discussed:

*None***Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: On 5/28/09, Sheila A. Loggins agreed to E-file a statement of common ownership at the time the invention was made, to disqualify application 10/523,230 and US Patent 7,455,997 as prior art under 35 USC 103(c), in accordance with MPEP 706.02. On 6/2/09, it was agreed to amend the claims as shown in the Examiner's Amendment for the sake of clarity and accuracy..